

University of Groningen

Processing of Turkish evidential markers

Tokac, Suzan Dilara; Popov, Srdan; Arslan, Seçkin; Nickels, Lyndsey; Bastiaanse, Roelien

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Publication date:
2019

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Tokac, S. D., Popov, S., Arslan, S., Nickels, L., & Bastiaanse, R. (2019). *Processing of Turkish evidential markers: Evidence from ERP studies*. Abstract from Linguistic Society of New Zealand Conference (LingSoc), New Zealand.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Processing of Turkish evidential markers: Evidence from ERP studies

Suzan Dilara Tokaç^{1,2,3}, Srđan Popov², Seçkin Arslan⁴, Lyndsey Nickels^{1,3} & Roelien Bastiaanse^{2,5}

¹International Doctorate for Experimental Approaches to Language and Brain (IDEALAB), Universities of Potsdam (DE), Groningen (NL), Newcastle (UK), Trento (IT) and Macquarie University, Sydney (AU) & ²Center for Language and Cognition Groningen (CLCG), University of Groningen ³Department of Cognitive Science, Macquarie University ⁴Universite Côte d'Azur, CNRS, France ⁵National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russian Federation

Evidentials specify information sources [1]. Turkish marks two obligatory evidential forms affixed to verbs when referring to the past: the direct evidential (-DI) is used when the event being expressed was witnessed by the speaker whereas the indirect evidential (-mİş) is appropriate when the event was reported to or inferred by the speaker.

Evidentiality in Turkish has processing asymmetries – the direct evidential is acquired earlier than the indirect in children [e.g. 2], but direct evidentials are more severely impaired in aphasia [3]. To unveil the cognitive underpinnings of these asymmetries, we examined the moment-by-moment electrophysiological event-related brain potentials (ERPs) that occur during evidentiality processing in unimpaired adult Turkish speakers.

25 Turkish native speakers (14 females; $M_{age}=24$ years) silently read Turkish sentences presented with or without mismatches of the information source and evidentiality marker (e.g. *I saw her while chopping, she *chopped (INDIRECT) onions in the kitchen*). In a second condition, participants read sentences with time reference violations (but without evidential biases, e.g. *Tomorrow Sedat *washed the car*). We found no significant differences in ERPs between sentences that matched or mismatched for evidentiality. In contrast, for time reference, significant P600 effects (peaking around 800ms) were elicited on the critical verb depending on whether the verb violated the time frame of the temporal adverb preceding it. We suggest that time reference violations are perceived as unacceptable (ungrammatical) thus leading to pronounced ERP patterns. In contrast, pragmatically infelicitous evidentiality mismatches evoke significant behavioural differences in the absence of any discernible ERP differences.

References

- [1] Aikhenvald, A. Y. (2004). *Evidentiality*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [2] Ozturk, O., & Papafragou, A. (2016). The acquisition of evidentiality and source monitoring. *Language Learning and Development*, 12(2), 199-230.
- [3] Arslan, S., Aksu-Koç, A., Maviş, I., & Bastiaanse, R. (2014). Finite verb inflections for evidential categories and source identification in Turkish agrammatic Broca's aphasia. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 70, 165-181.
- [4] Arslan, S., de Kok, D., & Bastiaanse, R. (2017). Processing grammatical evidentiality and time reference in Turkish heritage and monolingual speakers. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 20(3), 457-472.